



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,568	10/06/2000	Yukio Tanaka	SEL 219	2087	
•	7590 07/23/2003				
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			JORGENSEN, LELAND R		
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2675	^	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10.00			
Advisory Action	09/684,568	TANAKA, YUKIO	$\mathfrak{P}$			
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit	4/			
	Leland R. Jorgensen	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr unt of the fee. The appropr priginally set in the final Off	ee MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the			
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed an	nendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT p	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were n	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			l an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 42</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	r.			
9.☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  10.☐ Other:	STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 26					





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment amend all independent claims to limit the video data to digital data. This limitation raises a new issue that requires further consideration and search. In addition, the proposed amendment adds new claims 43 - 49 that raise additional new issues. The proposed amendment cancelled no corresponding claims.